

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,764	(	07/30/2003	Akira Aoto	10517/80 7701		
23838	7590	06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON I BROADWAY				RUTHKOSKY, MARK		
NEW YORK		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1745		
				DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,764	AOTO, AKIRA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ulv 2003		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		;	
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority document:  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		·

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 7/30/2003 has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

## **Drawings**

The drawings filed on 7/30/2003 have been approved.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 1745

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569.)

The instant claims are to a separator for a fuel cell comprising a metal plate including a gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion, the contact portion being brought into contact with a terminal of a cell voltage monitor attached to the fuel cell, wherein a surface treatment applied to the gas passage portion is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion. In this interpretation of the claims, it is noted that the claim is to a separator comprising a metal plate including a gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion wherein a surface treatment applied to the gas passage portion is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion. The limitation that the contact portion is brought into contact with a terminal of a cell voltage monitor attached to the fuel cell is not given patentable weight, as it does not further define a separator. It merely states an intended use for the plate.

Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) teaches a separator for a fuel cell comprising a metal plate including a carbon coated gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion, wherein a surface treatment applied to the gas passage portion is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion. The untreated frame/stainless steel section is a contact portion other than the gas passage portion and also serves as an attachment portion. A gasket frame portion is noted (cols. 5-6.) With regard to claims 6 and 7, the step of engaging the plate with a monitor does not further limit the separator. Thus, these claims are anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,764

Art Unit: 1745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) as applied above, and further in view of Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828.)

Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) teaches a separator for a fuel cell comprising a metal plate including a gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion, the contact portion being brought into contact with a terminal of a cell voltage monitor attached to the fuel cell, wherein a surface treatment applied to the gas passage portion is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion. Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) does not teach the contact portion being brought into contact with a terminal of a cell voltage monitor attached to the fuel cell.

Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) teaches a fuel cell stack with a voltage-measuring terminal attached to the sidewall of the separator plate. The fuel cell separator plates have a protruding terminal integral with the separator for measuring the voltage of each cell in the fuel cell stack. The separator may be graphite, aluminum and stainless steel (paragraph 28.) The terminal is engaged with a voltage monitor (paragraphs 12-29.) The attachment portion is attached in the direction wherein a plurality of frames are stacked as taught in figure 1. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach a terminal in the

manner taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) to the frame portion of the separator plate of Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) in order to measure the voltage of each cell in the fuel cell stack as taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828.) The attachment portion may be attached to the stainless steel frame by soldering or welding as taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828.)

It would further be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a conductive coating to the stainless steel plate. Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) teaches the plate may be of aluminum or stainless steel. For example, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to coat the stainless steel plate with an aluminum coating as Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) teaches aluminum as a conductive separator material that forms a bond with a protruding terminal. The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

## Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/629,764

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky
Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1745

1/2/05